



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of:

**Stephen L. Clark, et al.**

Confirmation No. **8116**

Serial No.: **09/886,432**

Group Art Unit: **2833**

Filing Date: **June 21, 2001**

Examiner: **Hien D. Vu**

For: **Power Connector**

Express Mail Label No. EL 998519707US  
DATE OF DEPOSIT: JANUARY 13, 2005  
This document is being filed  
concurrently with Issue/Publication  
Fee Transmittal

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:


**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

The above-identified application was allowed on October 13, 2004. In the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, the examiner states that "the prior art fails to teach or suggest . . . wherein the plug contact has at least two terminals extending from two of the side walls and the bridging element thereof for inserting into a printed circuit structure as set forth in the claimed combination." Applicants respectfully submit that the statement implies that terminals are extending from both the two side walls and the bridging element. Clarification is believed necessary. The recited wherein clause states "wherein the plug contact further comprising at least two terminals extending from two of the three closed sides thereof for inserting into a printed circuit structure," and the three closed sides are recited earlier in the claims as "comprising two side walls and an

upper bridging element.” The specification shows at least two terminals extending from each of the two side walls (two of the three closed sides), and not the bridging element.

The Issue Fee is being paid herewith.

Respectfully submitted,



**Andrew J. Hagerty**

**Reg. No. 44,141**

Date: January 13, 2005

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP  
One Liberty Place – 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 568-3100